arXiv:1410.7207v2 [cs.IT] 15 Oct 2015

Generalized weights: an anticode approach

Alberto Ravagnani*

Institut de Mathématiques
Université de Neuchâtel
Emile-Argand 11, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

Abstract

In this paper we study generalized weights as an algebraic invariant of a code. We first describe anticodes in the Hamming and in the rank metric, proving in particular that optimal anticodes in the rank metric coincide with Frobenius-closed spaces. Then we characterize both generalized Hamming and rank weights of a code in terms of the intersection of the code with optimal anticodes in the respective metrics. Inspired by this description, we propose a new algebraic invariant, which we call "Delsarte generalized weights", for Delsarte rank-metric codes based on optimal anticodes of matrices. We show that our invariant refines the generalized rank weights for Gabidulin codes proposed by Kurihara, Matsumoto and Uyematsu, and establish a series of properties of Delsarte generalized weights. In particular, we characterize Delsarte optimal codes and anticodes in terms of their generalized weights. We also present a duality theory for the new algebraic invariant, proving that the Delsarte generalized weights of a code completely determine the Delsarte generalized weights of the dual code. Our results extend the theory of generalized rank weights for Gabidulin codes. Finally, we prove the analogue for Gabidulin codes of a theorem of Wei, proving that their generalized rank weights characterize the worst-case security drops of a Gabidulin rank-metric code.

Introduction

Linear codes with the Hamming metric can be employed in wiretap channels to secure a communication against an eavesdropper (see e.g. [8]). In [13], Wei proved that in this context the performance of a code is measured by an algebraic invariant of the code, namely, the collection of its generalized Hamming weights. Generalized Hamming weights have interesting mathematical properties. For example, they generalize the notion of minimum distance, and form a strictly increasing sequence of integers. Another interesting combinatorial feature is that the generalized Hamming weights of a linear code completely determine the generalized Hamming weights of the dual code. The generalized Hamming weights of a code are defined in terms of the supports of the subcodes of the code of given dimension.

Recently, Silva and Kschischang proposed a scheme based on Gabidulin rank-metric codes to secure a communication against an eavesdropper over a network in a universal way (see [12] for details). An important feature of the scheme is that it is compatible with linear network coding. Generalized rank weights were introduced by Kurihara, Matsumoto and Uyematsu in [6] to measure

*E-mail: alberto.ravagnani@unine.ch. The author was partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation through grant no. 200021_150207.

the performance of a Gabidulin code when employed in the scheme of [12]. The generalized rank weights of a Gabidulin code are defined in terms of the intersections of the code with Frobenius-closed spaces. Generalized rank weights also have interesting mathematical properties, including a duality theory (see [6] and [2]).

In [1] Delsarte defines rank-metric codes as linear spaces of matrices of given size over a finite field. There exists a natural way to associate to a Gabidulin code a Delsarte code with the same metric properties. Thus Delsarte codes may be regarded as a generalization of Gabidulin codes. It is not clear however how to extend the definition of generalized rank weights for Gabidulin codes to Delsarte codes in a convenient way, i.e., producing a well-behaving algebraic invariant. This is the main problem that we address in our work.

Gabidulin and Delsarte codes have interesting applications in coherent and non-coherent network coding, e.g., they play an important role in the construction of subspace codes for random network coding in the approach of [5]. We address the interested reader to [11].

In this paper we focus on generalized weights for linear, Gabidulin and Delsarte codes from an algebraic point of view. We first investigate optimal anticodes in the Hamming and in the rank metric, and show that both the generalized Hamming weights and the generalized rank weights of a code can be characterized in terms of the intersection of the code with optimal anticodes in the respective metrics. In order to establish this characterization for generalized rank weights, we prove in particular that Frobenius-closed spaces in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ coincide with optimal anticodes in the rank metric. The result says that the algebraic condition of being Frobenius-closed may be regarded as a metric condition. We also give a convenient method to compute a basis defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of a Frobenius-closed space $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$.

Inspired by the characterizations above, we propose a definition of generalized weights for Delsarte rank-metric codes based on optimal anticodes in the space of matrices. Then we prove that Delsarte generalized weights, as an algebraic invariant, refine generalized rank weights for Gabidulin codes. We establish several properties of Delsarte generalized weights, which may be regarded as the analogue for Delsarte codes of the classical properties of generalized Hamming and rank weights. In particular, we show that Delsarte optimal codes and anticodes are characterized by their Delsarte generalized weights. We also study how Delsarte generalized weights relate to the duality theory of Delsarte codes. In particular, we prove that the Delsarte generalized weights of a code determine the Delsarte generalized weights of the dual code.

Finally, we show that the generalized rank weights proposed by Kurihara, Matsumoto and Uyematsu in [6] measure the worst-case security drops of a Gabidulin code employed in the scheme of [12].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we give preliminary definitions and results on linear and rank-metric codes. In Section 2 we characterize generalized Hamming weights in terms of optimal anticodes in the Hamming metric. In Section 3 we prove that Frobenius-closed spaces coincide with optimal anticodes in the rank metric, and characterize generalized rank weights in terms of optimal anticodes. In Section 4 we introduce Delsarte codes and define Delsarte generalized weights, proving that they refine generalized rank weights for Gabidulin codes. The main properties of Delsarte generalized weights are derived in Section 5. In Section 6 we focus on the duality theory of Delsarte codes, showing that the generalized weights of a Delsarte code determine the generalized weights of the dual code. We prove the analogue for Gabidulin codes of a theorem of Wei on security drops in Section 7.

1 Preliminaries

In this section we briefly recall some basic notions of coding theory. In particular, we give the definition of generalized weights for the Hamming and the rank metric.

Notation 1. Throughout this paper, q denotes a prime power, and $\mathbb{F}_q$ the finite field with q elements. We also work with fixed positive integers n, k and m with $k \leq m$. For $s \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, we set $[s] := \{1, 2, ..., s\}$, and if $\mathbb{F}$ is a field then the entries of a vector $v \in \mathbb{F}^s$ are denoted by $v_1, ..., v_s \in \mathbb{F}$. The vector space of matrices of size $t \times s$ over the field $\mathbb{F}$ is $\mathrm{Mat}(t \times s, \mathbb{F})$, and if M is any such matrix we denote by $\mathrm{rowsp}(M)$ the vector space generated over $\mathbb{F}$ by the rows of M. If we work with a field extension $\mathbb{K} \supseteq \mathbb{F}$, to avoid confusion we may also write $\mathrm{rowsp}_{\mathbb{K}}(M)$ for the space generated over $\mathbb{K}$ by the rows of M. The rank of a matrix M is $\mathrm{rk}(M)$, while M^t denotes the transpose of M. The trace of a square matrix M is $\mathrm{Tr}(M)$.

Let us start with classical codes in the Hamming metric.

Definition 2. A **linear code** of length n and dimension t is a t-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$. The **Hamming weight** of a vector $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is defined as $\mathrm{wt}(v) := |\{i \in [n] : v_i \neq 0\}|$. The **minimum weight** of a non-zero code C is $\mathrm{minwt}(C) := \min\{\mathrm{wt}(c) : c \in C,\ c \neq 0\}$, and the **maximum weight** of any code C is $\mathrm{maxwt}(C) := \max\{\mathrm{wt}(c) : c \in C\}$. The **support** of an $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is defined by $\chi(D) := \{i \in [n] : \exists\ d \in D \text{ with } d_i \neq 0\}$. Given a t-dimensional non-zero code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and an integer $1 \leq r \leq t$, the **r-th generalized Hamming weight** of C is $d_r(C) := \min\{|\chi(D)| : D \subseteq C,\ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(D) = r\}$.

In [13] Wei proved that generalized Hamming weights characterize the worst-case security drops of a linear code employed in the coding scheme for wiretap channels proposed in [8]. The main algebraic properties of generalized Hamming weights are summarized in the following result.

Theorem 3 (see [13])**.** Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a non-zero linear code of dimension $1 \leq t \leq n$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The following hold.

1. $d_1(C) = \mathrm{minwt}(C)$.
2. $d_t(C) \leq n$.
3. For any $1 \leq r \leq t-1$ we have $d_r(C) < d_{r+1}(C)$.
4. For any $1 \leq r \leq t$ we have $d_r(C) \leq n - t + r$.

We now introduce Gabidulin rank-metric codes and generalized rank weights. Given a vector $v = (v_1, ..., v_k) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$, let $v^q := (v_1^q, ..., v_k^q)$. A subspace $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ is **Frobenius-closed** if $v \in V$ implies $v^q \in V$. We denote by $\Lambda_q(k, m)$ the set of Frobenius-closed spaces $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$.

Definition 4. A **Gabidulin code** C of length k and dimension t is an $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$-subspace $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ of dimension t over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. The **rank** of any vector $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ is $\mathrm{rk}(v) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}_q}\{v_1, ..., v_k\}$. The **minimum rank** of a non-zero Gabidulin code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ is $\mathrm{minrk}(C) := \min\{\mathrm{rk}(c) : c \in C,\ c \neq 0\}$, and the **maximum rank** of any Gabidulin code C is $\mathrm{maxrk}(C) := \max\{\mathrm{rk}(c) : c \in C\}$. Given a t-dimensional non-zero Gabidulin code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ and an integer $1 \leq r \leq t$, the **r-th generalized rank weight** of C is $m_r(C) := \min\{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(V) : V \in \Lambda_q(k, m),\ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(V \cap C) \geq r\}$.

In the literature researchers often call "Gabidulin codes" only the rank-metric codes obtained evaluating certain sets of linearized polynomials (see [3]). For practical reasons we will not make this distinction here, and simply call "Gabidulin code" any $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$-subspace $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$.

In [12] Silva and Kschischang propose a coding scheme to secure a network communication against an eavesdropper based on Gabidulin codes. Generalized rank weights were introduced by Kurihara, Matsumoto and Uyematsu in [6] to measure the performance of a Gabidulin code when employed in the cited scheme. The following theorem summarizes the main properties of generalized rank weights established in [6]. See also [2].

Theorem 5 (see [6])**.** Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ be a non-zero Gabidulin code of dimension $1 \leq t \leq k$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. The following hold.

1. $m_1(C) = \text{minrk}(C)$.
2. $m_t(C) \leq k$.
3. For any $1 \leq r \leq t-1$ we have $m_r(C) < m_{r+1}(C)$.
4. For any $1 \leq r \leq t$ we have $m_r(C) \leq k - t + r$.

2 Generalized Hamming weights and anticodes

In this section we characterize the generalized Hamming weights of a linear code in terms of the intersections of the code with optimal anticodes in the Hamming metric. Recall that a matrix M over a field is in **row-reduced echelon form** (abbreviated as "**RRE form**") if:

- each row of M has more initial zeros than the previous rows,
- the first non-zero entry of any non-zero row of M (called the **pivot entry** of the row) equals 1, and it is also the only non-zero entry in its column.

If M is in RRE form, then the columns of M that contain a pivot entry are the **pivot columns** of M. Each pivot column contains only one non-zero entry, and such entry equals 1. It is well-known that any matrix can be put in row-reduced echelon form by performing elementary operations on the rows. Moreover, the row-reduced echelon form of a matrix is unique. Therefore, given a field $\mathbb{F}$, an integer $s \geq 1$ and a subspace $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^s$ of dimension $1 \leq t \leq s$, there exists a unique matrix $M \in \text{Mat}(t \times s, \mathbb{F})$ in row-reduced echelon form such that $\text{rowsp}(M) = V$. We denote such matrix by $\text{RRE}(V)$.

Now if $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is a non-zero linear code then the sum of the rows of $\text{RRE}(C)$ is a vector of Hamming weight at least $\dim(C)$. This shows the following bound.

Proposition 6. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a linear code. We have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C) \leq \text{maxwt}(C)$.

Definition 7. A code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ attaining the bound of Proposition 6 is an **optimal linear anticode**. We denote the set of optimal linear anticodes in $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ by $\mathcal{A}_q^H(n)$.

One can construct simple optimal linear anticodes as follows. Let $S \subseteq [n]$ be any subset. The **free code** over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of length n **supported** on S is $C_q(n, S) := \{v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : v_i = 0 \text{ for all } i \in [n] \setminus S\}$. Clearly, any free code $C_q(n, S)$ has $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C_q(n, S)) = \text{maxwt}(C_q(n, S)) = |S|$. Thus free codes are optimal linear anticodes. Vice versa, we now show that for $q \geq 3$ all optimal linear anticodes are free codes.

Lemma 8. Assume $q \geq 3$. Let $t \geq 1$ be an integer, and let $c_1, ..., c_t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ be not all zero. There exist $a_1, ..., a_t \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i c_i \neq 0$.

Proof. Choose $b_1, ..., b_t \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^t b_i c_i \neq 0$ then take $a_i = b_i$ for $i \in [t]$. Assume $\sum_{i=1}^t b_i c_i = 0$. By hypothesis, there exists $j \in [t]$ such that $c_j \neq 0$. Let $b \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Define $a_j := bb_j$, and $a_i := b_i$ for $i \in [t] \setminus \{j\}$. Since $b \neq 0$ we have $a_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in [t]$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{i=1}^t a_i c_i = bb_j c_j + \sum_{i \neq j} b_i c_i = b_j c_j + (b-1) b_j c_j + \sum_{i \neq j} b_i c_i = \sum_{i=1}^t b_i c_i + (b-1) b_j c_j = (b-1) b_j c_j.$$

Since $b \neq 1$, $b_j \neq 0$ and $c_j \neq 0$ we have $(b-1) b_j c_j \neq 0$. □

Proposition 9. Assume $q \geq 3$. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a linear code of dimension t. Then $C \in \mathcal{A}_q^H(n)$ if and only if $C = C_q(n, S)$ for some $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| = t$.

Proof. The implication ($\Leftarrow$) is clear. Let us prove ($\Rightarrow$). If $t = 0$ or $t = n$ then the result is trivial. Assume $0 < t < n$. If C is an optimal anticode we have $t = \mathrm{maxwt}(C)$. Let $M := \mathrm{RRE}(C)$. We will show that any non-pivot column of M is zero. By contradiction, let $j \in [n]$ be the index of a non-zero non-pivot column of M, and let $c_1^j, ..., c_t^j$ be the entries of such column. By Lemma 8 there exist $a_1, ..., a_t \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i c_i^j \neq 0$. Denote by $M_1, ..., M_t \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ the rows of M. We have that $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i M_i \in C$ has Hamming weight at least $t+1$, a contradiction. It follows $c_i^j = 0$ for all $i \in [t]$. Hence we proved $C \subseteq C_q(n, S)$, where $S \subseteq [n]$ is the set of pivot columns of M. In particular, $|S| = t$, and so $C = C_q(n, S)$. □

Proposition 9 allows us to characterize the generalized Hamming weights of a linear code in terms of optimal anticodes as follows.

Theorem 10. Assume $q \geq 3$. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a non-zero linear code of dimension $1 \leq t \leq n$. For any integer $1 \leq r \leq t$ we have $d_r(C) = \min\{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}_q^H(n),\ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A \cap C) \geq r\}$.

Proof. Fix $1 \leq r \leq t$. Define $d_r'(C) := \min\{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}_q^H(n),\ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A \cap C) \geq r\}$. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}_q^H(n)$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) = d_r'(C)$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A \cap C) \geq r$. By Proposition 9, $A = C_q(n, S)$ for some $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$. Let D be an r-dimensional subspace of $A \cap C$. We have $\chi(D) \subseteq \chi(A \cap C) \subseteq \chi(A) = \chi(C_q(n, S)) = S$, and so $|\chi(D)| \leq |S| = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$. This proves $d_r(C) \leq d_r'(C)$. Let now $D \subseteq C$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(D) = r$ and $|\chi(D)| = d_r(C)$. Define $A := C_q(n, \chi(D))$. Since $A \supseteq D$ and $D \subseteq C$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A \cap C) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(D \cap C) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(D) = r$. Moreover, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) = |\chi(D)| = d_r(C)$, and so $d_r'(C) \leq d_r(C)$. □

Notice that Theorem 10 and Proposition 9 do not hold in general when $q = 2$. Take e.g. $n = 3$, and let C be the linear code generated over $\mathbb{F}_2$ by $(1, 0, 1)$ and $(0, 1, 1)$. We have $d_2(C) = |\chi(C)| = 3$. On the other hand, C is an optimal linear anticode of maximum weight 2, even if it is not of the form $C_2(3, S)$ for some $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| = 2$. Following the notation of the proof of Theorem 10 we have $d_2'(C) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C) = 2 \neq d_2(C)$.

3 Generalized rank weights and anticodes

The aim of this section is to establish the analogue of Theorem 10 for Gabidulin codes and generalized rank weights. We start studying optimal anticodes in the rank metric, giving a bound on their dimension.

Proposition 11. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ be a Gabidulin code. We have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(C) \leq \text{maxrk}(C)$.

Proof. If $C = 0$ the result is trivial. Assume $t := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(C) \geq 1$ and let $M_1, ..., M_t$ denote the rows of $M := \text{RRE}(C) \in \text{Mat}(t \times k, \mathbb{F}_{q^m})$. Let $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ be independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i M_i \in C$ has $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_t$ among its components. In particular, $\text{rk}(\sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i M_i) \geq t$. □

Definition 12. A code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ attaining the bound of Proposition 11 is an **optimal Gabidulin anticode**. We denote the set of optimal Gabidulin anticodes in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ by $\mathcal{A}_q^G(k, m)$.

We now present a series of preliminary results relating Frobenius-closed spaces, matrices in RRE form, and optimal anticodes.

Theorem 13 ([4], Theorem 1)**.** Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ be an $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$-subspace. Then $V \in \Lambda_q(k, m)$ if and only if V has a basis made of vectors with entries in $\mathbb{F}_q$ (in short, **defined** over $\mathbb{F}_q$).

Combining Theorem 13 with the uniqueness of the RRE form we obtain the following useful criterion to test whether a space is Frobenius-closed or not. The result also provides an efficient way to compute a basis defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of a Frobenius-closed space $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$, as we will show in an example.

Corollary 14. Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ be a non-zero subspace. Then $V \in \Lambda_q(k, m)$ if and only if $\text{RRE}(V)$ is a matrix with entries in $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Example 15. Let $q = 2$ and $k = m = 4$. Write $\mathbb{F}_{2^4} = \mathbb{F}_2[\xi]$, where ξ satisfies $\xi^4 + \xi + 1 = 0$. Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{2^4}^4$ be the space generated by the vectors $v_1 := (\xi, \xi^2, \xi^5, \xi)$ and $v_2 := (\xi^2, \xi^4, \xi^{10}, \xi^2)$, and let M denote the matrix having v_1 and v_2 as rows. The RRE form of M is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore V is Frobenius-closed, and $\{(1, 0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 1, 0)\}$ is a basis of V defined over $\mathbb{F}_2$.

We will need the following preliminary lemma.

Lemma 16. Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of dimension h over $\mathbb{F}_q$, with $1 \leq h \leq m - 2$. Let $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \setminus H$, and $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. There exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \setminus H$ such that $x + \alpha y \notin H \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle$, where $\langle \alpha \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ denotes the space generated by α over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Proof. Define the sets $U := \{a \in \mathbb{F}_q : a \neq y\}$ and $\mathcal{U} := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} : \exists\ v \in H,\ a \in U \text{ with } \alpha = (v - x)/(y - a)\}$. We claim that $x + \alpha y \in H \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle$ if and only if $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$. Indeed, if $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ then $\alpha = (v - x)/(y - a)$ for some $v \in H$ and $a \in U \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$. Hence $\alpha(y - a) = v - x$, and so $x + \alpha y = v + a\alpha \in H \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle$. Vice versa, if $x + \alpha y \in H \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle$ then there exist $v \in H$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $x + \alpha y = v + a\alpha$. If $a = y$ then $x = v \in H$, a contradiction. It follows $a \in U$, and $\alpha = (v - x)/(y - a)$.

We clearly have $|\mathcal{U}| \leq |H| \cdot |U| \leq q^h q = q^{h+1}$. Hence $|\mathbb{F}_{q^m} \setminus \mathcal{U}| \geq q^m - q^{h+1}$. Since $m - h \geq 2$ by hypothesis, we have $q^{m-h} - q \geq q^2 - q > 1$. Multiplying both members of this inequality by q^h we obtain $q^m - q^{h+1} > q^h$. Hence we have $|\mathbb{F}_{q^m} \setminus \mathcal{U}| \geq q^m - q^{h+1} > q^h$. Since $|H| = q^h$, there exists $\alpha \in (\mathbb{F}_{q^m} \setminus \mathcal{U}) \setminus H$. Since $\alpha \notin \mathcal{U}$ we have $x + \alpha y \notin H \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle$ by the claim. □

Given a matrix M with t rows $M_1, ..., M_t$ and a permutation $\pi : [t] \to [t]$, we denote by $\pi(M)$ the matrix whose rows are $M_{\pi(1)}, ..., M_{\pi(t)}$. A matrix M is **almost** in RRE form if $\pi(M)$ is in RRE form for some permutation π.

Proposition 17. Let $1 \leq t < k$ be an integer, and let $M \in \mathrm{Mat}(t \times k, \mathbb{F}_{q^m})$ be a full-rank matrix almost in RRE form with rows $M_1, ..., M_t$. If M_1 has at least one entry in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$, then there exist $\mathbb{F}_q$-linearly independent elements $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ such that $\mathrm{rk}(\sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i M_i) \geq t+1$.

Proof. By induction on t. If $t = 1$ then M has only one row, $M_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$. Such row has 1 and an element $M_{1j} \notin \mathbb{F}_q$ among its entries. In particular, it has rank ≥ 2, and we can take $\alpha_1 := 1$ to conclude the proof. Assume that the result holds for all non-negative integers smaller than t. Denote by $M' \in \mathrm{Mat}(t-1, k, \mathbb{F}_{q^m})$ the matrix obtained from M deleting the last row. Clearly, M' has full-rank and it is almost in RRE form. By induction hypothesis there are $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{t-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $\mathrm{rk}(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \alpha_i M_i) \geq t$. Since the vector $\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \alpha_i M_i$ has $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{t-1}$ among its components, there exists $j \in [k]$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \alpha_i M_{ij} \notin \langle \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{t-1} \rangle$. Lemma 16 with $H = \langle \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{t-1} \rangle$, $x = \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \alpha_i M_{ij}$, $y = M_{tj}$ gives an element $\alpha_t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \setminus \langle \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{t-1} \rangle$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \alpha_i M_{ij} + \alpha_t M_{tj} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_i M_{ij} \notin \langle \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_t \rangle$. Thus $\sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i M_i$ has rank $\geq t+1$. □

The following theorem shows that Frobenius-closed spaces coincide with optimal Gabidulin anticodes. In particular, it shows that the algebraic condition of being Frobenius-closed may be regarded as a metric condition.

Theorem 18. We have $\Lambda_q(k, m) = \mathcal{A}_q^G(k, m)$.

Proof. Let $V \in \Lambda_q(k, m)$. Denote by t the dimension of V over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. If $t = 0$ then clearly $V \in \mathcal{A}_q^G(k, m)$. Now assume $1 \leq t \leq k$. By Theorem 13 there exists a basis $\{v_1, ..., v_t\}$ of V defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Take any $v \in V$. There exist $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ with $v = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i v_i$. The space generated over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by the entries of v is contained in $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}_q}\{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_t\}$. In particular $\mathrm{rk}(v) \leq t$. Since $v \in V$ is arbitrary, this proves $\mathrm{maxrk}(V) \leq t$. By Proposition 11 we have $\mathrm{maxrk}(V) = t = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(V)$, and so $V \in \mathcal{A}_q^G(k, m)$. Now we prove $\mathcal{A}_q^G(k, m) \subseteq \Lambda_q(k, m)$. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}_q^G(k, m)$, and denote by t the dimension of A over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. If $t = 0$ or $t = k$ then $A \in \Lambda_q(k, m)$. Assume $1 \leq t < k$, and set $M := \mathrm{RRE}(A)$. By Corollary 14 it suffices to show that M has entries in $\mathbb{F}_q$. By contradiction, assume that M has one entry, say M_{ij}, in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$. Exchanging the first and the i-th row of M we obtain a matrix, say N, almost in RRE form such that $\mathrm{rowsp}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(N) = \mathrm{rowsp}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(M) = A$. By Proposition 17 there exists $v \in \mathrm{rowsp}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(N) = A$ with $\mathrm{rk}(v) \geq t+1$, and this contradicts the fact that A is an optimal anticode of dimension t. □

We can now state the main result of this section, characterizing generalized rank weights in terms of optimal Gabidulin anticodes. The result follows from Definition 4 and Theorem 18, and it may be regarded as the analogue of Theorem 10 for Gabidulin codes.

Corollary 19. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ be a non-zero Gabidulin code of dimension $1 \leq t \leq k$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. For all $1 \leq r \leq t$ we have $m_r(C) = \min\{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}_q^G(k, m),\ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(A \cap C) \geq r\}$.

4 An algebraic invariant for Delsarte codes

In [1] Delsarte defines rank-metric codes as linear spaces of matrices over a finite field. In this section we briefly recall the basic definitions, and propose a new algebraic invariant for Delsarte codes in analogy with the generalized Hamming weights for linear codes and with the generalized rank weights for Gabidulin codes.

Definition 20. A **Delsarte code** is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$. The **minimum rank** of a non-zero Delsarte code $\mathcal{C}$ is $\mathrm{minrk}(\mathcal{C}) := \min\{\mathrm{rk}(M) : M \in \mathcal{C},\ \mathrm{rk}(M) > 0\}$. The **maximum rank** of any Delsarte code $\mathcal{C}$ is $\mathrm{maxrk}(\mathcal{C}) := \max\{\mathrm{rk}(M) : M \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

In analogy with Proposition 6 and Proposition 11 we have the following bound.

Proposition 21 (see [9], Proposition 47)**.** Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ be a Delsarte code. We have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}) \leq m \cdot \mathrm{maxrk}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 22. A code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ attaining the bound of Proposition 21 is a **Delsarte optimal anticode**. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_q^D(k, m)$ the set of Delsarte optimal anticodes in the space $\mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$.

Inspired by Theorem 10 and Corollary 19, we propose the following definition.

Definition 23. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ be a non-zero Delsarte code of dimension $1 \leq t \leq km$. For $1 \leq r \leq t$, the r**-th Delsarte generalized weight** of $\mathcal{C}$ is

$$a_r(\mathcal{C}) := \frac{1}{m} \min\{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k, m),\ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}) \geq r\}.$$

By Definition 22, the dimension over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of any anticode $A \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k, m)$ is a multiple of m. Therefore Delsarte generalized weights are positive integers.

Before describing the properties of Delsarte generalized weights we show how our invariant relates to the generalized rank weights for Gabidulin codes of [6]. Writing the components of a vector $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ over a basis $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ one can naturally associate to a Gabidulin code a Delsarte code with the same metric properties.

Definition 24. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_m\}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The matrix **associated** to a vector $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ with respect to $\mathcal{G}$ is the matrix $M_{\mathcal{G}}(v) \in \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ defined by $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^m M_{\mathcal{G}}(v)_{ij}\gamma_j$ for all $i \in [k]$. The Delsarte code **associated** to a Gabidulin code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ with respect to the basis $\mathcal{G}$ is $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) := \{M_{\mathcal{G}}(c) : c \in C\} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$.

If $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ is a Gabidulin code, then for any basis $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ we have that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ is a Delsarte rank-metric code with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) = m \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(C)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{maxrk}(C) = \mathrm{maxrk}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C))$, and if $C \neq 0$ we have $\mathrm{minrk}(C) = \mathrm{minrk}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C))$.

Recall that, by definition, Gabidulin codes are $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$-linear, while Delsarte codes are $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear. Therefore Gabidulin codes can be regarded as a proper subset of Delsarte codes. More precisely, a Delsarte code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ is Gabidulin if and only if it is $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$-linear under some isomorphism $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k \to \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ of the form $v \mapsto M_{\mathcal{G}}(v)$.

Since Delsarte codes generalize Gabidulin codes, one would expect that Delsarte generalized weights refine, as an invariant, generalized rank weights. In the remainder of this section we show precisely this fact. We start introducing some rank-preserving transformations.

Notation 25. Given a Gabidulin code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$, a Delsarte code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ and matrices $A \in \mathrm{Mat}(k \times k, \mathbb{F}_q)$, $B \in \mathrm{Mat}(m \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$, define:

$$CA := \{cA : c \in C\}, \quad A\mathcal{C} := \{AM : M \in \mathcal{C}\}, \quad \mathcal{C}B := \{MB : M \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

It is easy to see that if A and B are invertible matrices, then these multiplication maps are rank-preserving isomorphisms of Gabidulin and Delsarte codes. In particular, they preserve optimal anticodes in the respective metrics, generalized rank weights and Delsarte generalized weights. If $k = m$, define the **transpose** of a Delsarte code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times k, \mathbb{F}_q)$ by $\mathcal{C}^t := \{M^t : M \in \mathcal{C}\} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times k, \mathbb{F}_q)$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^t$ have the same Delsarte generalized weights.

One can construct a simple family of Delsarte optimal anticodes as follows. Let $0 \leq R \leq k$ be an integer. The **standard optimal anticode** $\mathcal{S}_q(k,m,R)$ of maximum rank R is the vector space of $k \times m$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}_q$ whose last $k-R$ rows equal zero. The following result shows that, up to the rank-preserving transformations introduced in Notation 25, all Delsarte optimal anticodes are standard optimal anticodes.

Theorem 26 ([10], Theorem 4 and Theorem 6)**.** Let $1 \leq R \leq k \leq m$ be integers, and let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k,m)$ with $\operatorname{maxrk}(\mathcal{A}) = R$.

1. If $k < m$ then there exist invertible matrices $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(k \times k, \mathbb{F}_q)$, $B \in \operatorname{Mat}(m \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $A\mathcal{A}B = \mathcal{S}_q(k,m,R)$.

2. If $k = m$ then there exist invertible matrices $A, B \in \operatorname{Mat}(k \times k, \mathbb{F}_q)$ such that either $A\mathcal{A}B = \mathcal{S}_q(k,k,R)$, or $A\mathcal{A}B = \mathcal{S}_q(k,k,R)^t$.

Proof. If $R = 0$ or $R = k$ then the result is trivial. Assume $1 \leq R \leq k-1$. If $k < m$ the result follows (up to a transposition) from [10], Theorem 6(a). If $k = m$ and $R > 1$ then apply [10], Theorem 4(a). Finally, if $k = m$ and $R = 1$ the result follows from [10], Theorem 4(b). □

We will also need the following linear algebra result, whose proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 27. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ be a Gabidulin code. The following hold.

1. If $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(k \times k, \mathbb{F}_q)$ is an invertible matrix, then for any basis $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ we have $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(CA^t) = A\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)$. In particular, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(CA^t)$ have the same Delsarte generalized weights.

2. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_m\}$, $\mathcal{F} := \{\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_m\}$ be bases of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $B \in \operatorname{Mat}(m \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ denote the invertible matrix defined by $\gamma_j = \sum_{s=1}^m B_{js}\varphi_s$ for all $j \in [m]$. We have $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(C) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)B$. In particular, if $C \neq 0$ then the Delsarte generalized weights of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)$ do not depend on the choice of the basis $\mathcal{G}$.

3. Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ be another Gabidulin code, and let $\mathcal{G}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. We have $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C \cap D) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(D)$.

We can now prove that Delsarte generalized weights refine, as an algebraic invariant, generalized rank weights.

Theorem 28. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ be a non-zero Gabidulin code of dimension $1 \leq t \leq k$. For any basis $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and for any integers $1 \leq r \leq t$ and $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq m-1$ we have $m_r(C) = a_{rm-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C))$. In particular, the Delsarte generalized weights of a Delsarte $\mathcal{C}$ code arising from a Gabidulin code are fully determined by a suitable subset of them.

Proof. Fix $1 \leq r \leq t$ and $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq m-1$. Let $\overline{A} \in \mathcal{A}_q^G(k,m)$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(\overline{A}) = m_r(C)$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(\overline{A} \cap C) \geq r$. We have $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{A}) \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k,m)$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{A})) = m \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(\overline{A}) = m \cdot m_r(C)$. By Lemma 27(3), $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{A}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{A} \cap C)$. Hence we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{A}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{A} \cap C)) \geq rm \geq rm - \varepsilon.$$

It follows $a_{rm-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)) \leq m_r(C)$.

Now we prove $m_r(C) \leq a_{rm-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C))$. Define $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)$ to simplify the notation. Let $\overline{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k,m)$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\overline{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{C}) \geq rm - \varepsilon$ and $a_{rm-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{C}) = 1/m \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$. By Definition 22,

$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}) = mR$, where $R = \text{maxrk}(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$. Hence we need to prove $m_r(C) \leq R$. By Theorem 26 there exist invertible matrices $A \in \text{Mat}(k \times k, \mathbb{F}_q)$ and $B \in \text{Mat}(m \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ such that either $A\overline{\mathcal{A}}B = \mathcal{S}_q(k, m, R)$, or $k = m$ and $A\overline{\mathcal{A}}B = \mathcal{S}_q(k, k, R)^t$. By Remark 25 (replacing if necessary $\mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{C}^\perp$, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ with $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^\perp$, A with B^t and B with A^t) without loss of generality we may assume to be in the former case. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_m\}$, and for $i \in [m]$ define $\varphi_i := \sum_{j=1}^m B_{ij}^{-1}\gamma_j$. It is clear that $\mathcal{F} := \{\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_m\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Define the optimal Gabidulin anticode $V := \{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k : v_i = 0 \text{ for } i > R\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$. Using Definition 24 one can check that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(V) = \mathcal{S}_q(k, m, R) = A\overline{\mathcal{A}}B$. Since V is an optimal Gabidulin anticode of dimension R over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, by Remark 25 $V(A^t)^{-1}$ is an optimal Gabidulin anticode of dimension R as well. Hence by Corollary 19 it suffices to prove $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(V(A^t)^{-1} \cap C) \geq r$. By Lemma 27(3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(V(A^t)^{-1} \cap C) &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(V(A^t)^{-1}A^t \cap CA^t) \\
&= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(V \cap CA^t) \\
&= \frac{1}{m}\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(V \cap CA^t)) \\
&= \frac{1}{m}\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(V) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(CA^t)).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 27, parts 1 and 2, we have $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(CA^t) = A\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(C) = A\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}}(C)B = A\mathcal{C}B$. It follows

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(V) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(CA^t) = A\overline{\mathcal{A}}B \cap A\mathcal{C}B = A(\overline{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{C})B.$$

Since $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A(\overline{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{C})B) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\overline{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{C})$, we have

$$\frac{1}{m}\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(V) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(CA^t)) = \frac{1}{m}\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\overline{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{C}) \geq \frac{1}{m}(rm - \varepsilon).$$

It follows $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(V(A^t)^{-1} \cap C) \geq \lceil (rm - \varepsilon)/m \rceil = r$, as claimed. □

It is not true in general that for a Delsarte code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ of dimension t we have $a_{im}(\mathcal{C}) = a_{im-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{C})$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $1 \leq \varepsilon \leq m - 1$ with $1 \leq im - \varepsilon \leq t$. For example, one can produce codes $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Mat}(3 \times 3, \mathbb{F}_2)$ of dimension 6 having the Delsarte generalized weights given in Table 1. The examples reflect the fact that not all Delsarte codes $\mathcal{C}$ arise from a Gabidulin code, even when $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}) \equiv 0 \mod m$.

	$a_1(\mathcal{C})$	$a_2(\mathcal{C})$	$a_3(\mathcal{C})$	$a_4(\mathcal{C})$	$a_5(\mathcal{C})$	$a_6(\mathcal{C})$
Code #1	1	1	1	2	2	3
Code #2	1	1	2	2	2	3
Code #3	1	1	1	2	3	3
Code #4	1	1	2	2	3	3
Code #5	1	1	2	3	2	3
Code #6	1	2	2	2	3	3

Table 1: Delsarte generalized weights of six different codes. Each line corresponds to a code.

5 Properties of Delsarte generalized weights

In this section we establish the analogue of Theorem 3 and Theorem 5 for Delsarte codes and Delsarte generalized weights, and characterize optimal Delsarte codes and anticodes in terms of their Delsarte generalized weights.

Recall that, by Theorem 5.4 of [1], for any non-zero Delsarte code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}) \leq m(k - \mathrm{minrk}(\mathcal{C}) + 1)$. The code $\mathcal{C}$ is **optimal** (or **MRD**) if its parameters attain the bound.

Lemma 29. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k, m)$ with $\mathrm{maxrk}(\mathcal{A}) \geq 1$. There exists $\mathcal{A}' \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k, m)$ with $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}') = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}) - m$.

Proof. Let $R := \mathrm{maxrk}(\mathcal{A})$. By Theorem 26 there exist invertible matrices A and B over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of size $k \times k$ and $m \times m$, respectively, such that either $A\mathcal{A}B = \mathcal{S}_q(k, m, R)$, or $k = m$ and $A\mathcal{A}B = \mathcal{S}_q(k, k, R)^t$. In the former case set $\mathcal{A}' := A^{-1}\mathcal{S}_q(k, m, R-1)B^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, and in the latter case set $\mathcal{A}' := A^{-1}\mathcal{S}_q(k, k, R-1)^t B^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. □

Theorem 30. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ be a non-zero Delsarte code of dimension $1 \leq t \leq km$. The following hold.

1. $a_1(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{minrk}(\mathcal{C})$.
2. $a_t(\mathcal{C}) \leq k$.
3. For any $1 \leq r \leq t-1$ we have $a_r(\mathcal{C}) \leq a_{r+1}(\mathcal{C})$.
4. For any $1 \leq r \leq t-m$ we have $a_r(\mathcal{C}) < a_{r+m}(\mathcal{C})$.
5. For any $1 \leq r \leq t$ we have $a_r(\mathcal{C}) \leq k - \lfloor (t-r)/m \rfloor$.
6. For any $1 \leq r \leq t$ we have $a_r(\mathcal{C}) \geq \lceil r/m \rceil$.

Proof. We will prove the six properties separately.

1. Let $M \in \mathcal{C}$ with $d := \mathrm{rk}(M) = \mathrm{minrk}(\mathcal{C}) \geq 1$. There are invertible matrices A and B over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of size $k \times k$ and $m \times m$, respectively, such that AMB is the matrix whose first d diagonal entries are ones and whose other entries equal zero. Clearly, $AMB \in \mathcal{S}_q(k, m, d)$. Set $\mathcal{A} := A^{-1}\mathcal{S}_q(k, m, d)B^{-1}$. By Notation 25, $\mathcal{A}$ is an optimal Delsarte anticode of dimension md such that $M \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{A}$. In particular $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{A}) \geq 1$, and so $a_1(\mathcal{C}) \leq d$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ has minimum rank d, it is clear that $a_1(\mathcal{C}) \geq d$.
2. Any anticode $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k, m)$ has dimension at most km.
3. Any anticode $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k, m)$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}) \geq r+1$ satisfies $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}) \geq r$.
4. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k, m)$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}) \geq r+m$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}) = m \cdot a_{r+m}(\mathcal{C})$. By Lemma 29 there exists an optimal anticode $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}') = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}) - m$. It suffices to prove $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{C}) \geq r$. Since $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, we have $\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}' \cap (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C})$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{C}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}' \cap (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C})) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}') + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}' + (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}))$. Since $\mathcal{A}' + (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}' + (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C})) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A})$. As a consequence, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{C}) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}') + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}) - m \geq r$.
5. Define $h := \lfloor (t-r)/m \rfloor$. By part (2) and (4) we find a strictly increasing sequence of integers $a_r(\mathcal{C}) < a_{r+m}(\mathcal{C}) < \cdots < a_{r+hm}(\mathcal{C}) \leq k$. It follows $k \geq a_r + h$, i.e., $a_r \leq k - h$.
6. If $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k, m)$ satisfies $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}) \geq r$ then, in particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}) \geq r$. Hence we have $a_r(\mathcal{C}) \geq r/m$, i.e., $a_r(\mathcal{C}) \geq \lceil r/m \rceil$. □

We can now show that Delsarte generalized weights completely characterize optimal codes and anticodes.

Corollary 31. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ be a non-zero Delsarte code with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}) = mR$. The following are equivalent.

1. $\mathcal{C}$ is a Delsarte optimal code,
2. $a_1(\mathcal{C}) = k - R + 1$,
3. for all $r \in [mR]$ we have $a_r(\mathcal{C}) = k - R + \lceil r/m \rceil$.

In particular, the Delsarte generalized weights of a Delsarte optimal code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ only depend on k, m and $\mathrm{minrk}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. By Theorem 30, (1) and (2) are equivalent. Assume $a_1(\mathcal{C}) = k - R + 1$. By Theorem 30, for all $r \in [mR]$ we have $a_r(\mathcal{C}) \leq k - \lfloor (mR - r)/m \rfloor = k - R + \lceil r/m \rceil$. Assume by contradiction that there exists $r \in [mR]$ with $a_r(\mathcal{C}) < k - R + \lceil r/m \rceil$. Define the non-negative integer $s := \max\{i \in \mathbb{N} : r - im \geq 1\}$. We have $1 \leq r - sm \leq m$. In particular, $s \geq (r - m)/m = r/m - 1$. Hence $s \geq \lceil r/m \rceil - 1$. By Theorem 30 we have

$$\begin{aligned} k - R + 1 = a_1(\mathcal{C}) &\leq a_{1+sm}(\mathcal{C}) - s \\ &\leq a_r(\mathcal{C}) - s \\ &< k - R + \lceil r/m \rceil - s \\ &\leq k - R + \lceil r/m \rceil - \lceil r/m \rceil + 1 \\ &= k - R + 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore we have $a_r(\mathcal{C}) = k - R + \lceil r/m \rceil$ for all $r \in [mR]$. This proves (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Finally, it is clear that (3) implies (2). □

Corollary 32. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ be a Delsarte code with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}) = mR$. The following are equivalent.

1. $\mathcal{C}$ is a Delsarte optimal anticode,
2. $a_{mR}(\mathcal{C}) = R$,
3. for all $r \in [mR]$ we have $a_r(\mathcal{C}) = \lceil r/m \rceil$.

In particular, the Delsarte generalized weights of a Delsarte optimal anticode $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ only depend on k, m and $\mathrm{maxrk}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is an optimal anticode. By Theorem 30, for all $r \in [mR]$ we have $a_r(\mathcal{C}) \geq \lceil r/m \rceil$. Let $r \in [mR]$. Since $\lceil r/m \rceil \leq \lceil mR/m \rceil = R$, by iterating Lemma 29 we can find an optimal anticode $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}) = m\lceil r/m \rceil$. We have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}) = m\lceil r/m \rceil$, and so $a_r(\mathcal{C}) \leq \lceil r/m \rceil$. This proves (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3). It is clear that (3) implies (2). Let us prove (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Assume $a_{mR}(\mathcal{C}) = R$. By definition, there exists an optimal anticode $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k, m)$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}) = mR$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}) \geq mR$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}) = mR$, we have $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}$. In particular, $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k, m)$. □

6 Delsarte generalized weights and duality

In this section we give the definition of Delsarte dual code, and show that the Delsarte generalized weights of a code and the Delsarte generalized weights of the dual code determine each others. We first recall the analogous definitions and results for linear and Gabidulin codes.

The **dual** of a linear code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is $C^\perp := \{v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : \langle c, v\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } c \in C\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard inner product of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. The **dual** of a Gabidulin code C is the Gabidulin code $C^\perp := \{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k : \langle c, v\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } c \in C\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard inner product of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$.

Theorem 33 ([13], Theorem 3, and [2])**.** The following hold.

1. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a linear code of dimension $1 \leq t < n$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The generalized Hamming weights of C and $C^\perp$ determine each other.

2. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ be a Gabidulin code of dimension $1 \leq t < k$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$. The generalized rank weights of C and $C^\perp$ determine each other.

The goal of this section is to establish the analogue of Theorem 33 for Delsarte codes and Delsarte generalized weights. We will use the notion of duality in $\mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ proposed in the context of coding theory by Delsarte in [1]. Recall that the **trace-product** of matrices $M, N \in \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ is $\langle M, N\rangle := \mathrm{Tr}(MN^t)$. One can easily check that the map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q) \times \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q) \to \mathbb{F}_q$ is symmetric, bilinear and non-degenerate.

Definition 34. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ be a Delsarte code. The **dual** of $\mathcal{C}$ is the Delsarte code $\mathcal{C}^\perp := \{N \in \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q) : \langle M, N\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } M \in \mathcal{C}\} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$.

The following lemma summarizes some well-known properties of the dual code. The proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 35. Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ be $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces. We have

$$(\mathcal{C}^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{C}, \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = km - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}), \qquad (\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{D})^\perp = \mathcal{C}^\perp + \mathcal{D}^\perp.$$

A crucial property of the set of Delsarte optimal anticodes is that it is preserved by duality.

Theorem 36 ([9], Theorem 54)**.** Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ be a Delsarte code. We have $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k \times m)$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}^\perp \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k \times m)$.

The theorem that we now present describes how the Delsarte generalized weights of a code $\mathcal{C}$ relate to the Delsarte generalized weights of the dual code $\mathcal{C}^\perp$. We will obtain as a corollary the main result of this section.

Theorem 37. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ be a Delsarte code of dimension $1 \leq t \leq km - 1$. Assume that $p, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy:

$$1 \leq p + im \leq km - t \qquad \text{and} \qquad 1 \leq p + t + jm \leq t.$$

Then $a_{p+im}(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \neq k + 1 - a_{p+t+jm}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. Define $r := p + im$ and $s := t + r - m \cdot a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$. By Theorem 30 we have $a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \geq r/m$, and so $s \leq t$. We split the proof into two parts. All dimensions are over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

1. Assume $p+t+jm \leq s$. Since $p+t+jm \geq 1$, we have $1 \leq p+t+jm \leq s \leq t$. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k,m)$ with $\dim(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp) \geq r$ and $\dim(\mathcal{A}) = m \cdot a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$. By Lemma 35 we have

$$\begin{aligned} r \leq \dim(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp) &= \dim(\mathcal{A}) + \dim(\mathcal{C}^\perp) - \dim(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C}^\perp) \\ &= m \cdot a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) + (km - t) - (km - \dim(\mathcal{A}^\perp \cap \mathcal{C})) \\ &= m \cdot a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) - t + \dim(\mathcal{A}^\perp \cap \mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies $s = t + r - m \cdot a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \leq \dim(\mathcal{A}^\perp \cap \mathcal{C})$. Therefore by Theorem 36 we have $a_s(\mathcal{C}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{A}^\perp)/m = (km - \dim(\mathcal{A}))/m = (km - m \cdot a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp))/m = k - a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$, i.e., $a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \leq k - a_s(\mathcal{C})$. Since $p+t+jm \leq s$, by Theorem 30 we have $a_s(\mathcal{C}) \geq a_{p+t+jm}(\mathcal{C})$. As a consequence, $a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \leq k - a_s(\mathcal{C}) \leq k - a_{p+t+jm}(\mathcal{C}) < k + 1 - a_{p+t+jm}(\mathcal{C})$, and the result follows.

2. Now assume $p+t+jm > s$, i.e., $i - j < a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ with $i - j = a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) - \varepsilon$. By definition of r we have

$$\begin{aligned} p+t+jm &= r - im + t + jm \\ &= r - (i-j)m + t \\ &= r - (a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) - \varepsilon)m + t \\ &= t + r - m \cdot a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) + \varepsilon m \\ &= s + \varepsilon m. \end{aligned}$$

Assume by contradiction $a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = k + 1 - a_{p+t+jm}(\mathcal{C})$, i.e., $a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = k + 1 - a_{s+\varepsilon m}(\mathcal{C})$. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k,m)$ with $\dim(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}) \geq s + \varepsilon m$ and $\dim(\mathcal{A}) = m \cdot a_{s+\varepsilon m}(\mathcal{C}) = m(k + 1 - a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp))$. By Lemma 35 we have

$$\begin{aligned} s + \varepsilon m &\leq \dim(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}) \\ &= \dim(\mathcal{A}) + \dim(\mathcal{C}) - \dim(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C}) \\ &= m(k + 1 - a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp)) + t - (km - \dim(\mathcal{A}^\perp \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp)) \\ &= m - m \cdot a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) + t + \dim(\mathcal{A}^\perp \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

Since $s = t + r - m \cdot a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$, the inequality above can be re-written as $\dim(\mathcal{A}^\perp \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp) \geq r + \varepsilon m - m$. By Theorem 36, $\mathcal{A}^\perp \in \mathcal{A}_q^D(k,m)$, and so $m \cdot a_{r+\varepsilon m-m}(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \leq \dim(\mathcal{A}^\perp)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 35 we have

$$\dim(\mathcal{A}^\perp) = km - \dim(\mathcal{A}) = km - m(k + 1 - a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp)) = m(a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) - 1).$$

It follows $m \cdot a_{r+\varepsilon m-m}(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \leq \dim(\mathcal{A}^\perp) = m(a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) - 1)$, i.e., $a_{r+\varepsilon m-m}(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \leq a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) - 1$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $r + \varepsilon m - m \geq r$. Hence by Theorem 30 we have $a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \leq a_{r+\varepsilon m-m}(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \leq a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) - 1$, a contradiction. □

We now present the main result of this section, which is the analogue of Theorem 33 for Delsarte codes. Let $1 \leq k \leq m$ be integers, and let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ be a Delsarte code of dimension $1 \leq t \leq km$. For any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the **s-weight sets** of $\mathcal{C}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} W_s(\mathcal{C}) &:= \{a_{s+im}(\mathcal{C}) : i \in \mathbb{Z},\ 1 \leq s + im \leq t\}, \\ \overline{W}_s(\mathcal{C}) &:= \{k + 1 - a_{s+im}(\mathcal{C}) : i \in \mathbb{Z},\ 1 \leq s + im \leq t\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following result holds.

Corollary 38. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ be a Delsarte code of dimension $1 \leq t \leq km-1$. For any integer $1 \leq p \leq m$ we have $W_p(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = [k] \setminus \overline{W}_{p+t}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular, the Delsarte generalized weights of $\mathcal{C}$ completely determine the Delsarte generalized weights of $\mathcal{C}^\perp$.

Proof. By Theorem 37 we have $W_p(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \cap \overline{W}_{p+t}(\mathcal{C}) = \emptyset$, and parts (1), (2) and (3) of Theorem 30 imply $W_p(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \cup \overline{W}_{p+t}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq [k]$. Hence it suffices to show that $|W_p(\mathcal{C}^\perp)| + |\overline{W}_{p+t}(\mathcal{C})| = k$.

By part (4) of Theorem 30 the generalized weights $a_{p+im}(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq p+im \leq km-t$, are distinct. Therefore we have

$$|W_p(\mathcal{C}^\perp)| = |\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \lceil (1-p)/m \rceil \leq i \leq \lfloor (km-t-p)/m \rfloor\}|. \tag{1}$$

For the same reason, the generalized weights $a_{p+t+im}(\mathcal{C})$, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq p+t+im \leq t$, are also distinct, and so

$$|\overline{W}_{p+t}(\mathcal{C})| = |\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \lceil (1-p-t)/m \rceil \leq i \leq \lfloor -p/m \rfloor\}|. \tag{2}$$

Since $1 \leq p \leq m$, we have $\lceil (1-p)/m \rceil = 0$ and $\lfloor -p/m \rfloor = -1$. Thus equations (1) and (2) can be written as

$$|W_p(\mathcal{C}^\perp)| = \lfloor (km-t-p)/m \rfloor + 1, \qquad |\overline{W}_{p+t}(\mathcal{C})| = -\lceil (1-p-t)/m \rceil.$$

Therefore it suffices to show

$$\lfloor (km-t-p)/m \rfloor - \lceil (1-p-t)/m \rceil = k-1. \tag{3}$$

Write $t+p = Am+B$ with $0 \leq B \leq m-1$. If $B=0$ then $\lfloor (km-t-p)/m \rfloor = k-A$ and $\lceil (1-p-t)/m \rceil = -A+1$. If $0 < B \leq m-1$ then $\lfloor (km-t-p)/m \rfloor = k-A-1$ and $\lceil (1-p-t)/m \rceil = -A$. This shows identity (3).

To prove the second part of the statement, observe that by part (4) of Theorem 30 the generalized weights of $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ in $W_p(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$ are ordered integers. Hence by the first part of the statement they are determined by the set $\overline{W}_{t+p}(\mathcal{C})$. The result now follows from the fact that any $a_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$, $1 \leq r \leq km-t$, belongs to exactly one set $W_p(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$, for some $1 \leq p \leq m$. □

Example 39. Let e.g. $q=5$ and $k=m=3$. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(3 \times 3, \mathbb{F}_5)$ be the code generated over $\mathbb{F}_5$ by the two matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have $a_1(\mathcal{C}) = 1$, $a_2(\mathcal{C}) = 2$, and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 9-2 = 7$. We will compute the integers

$$a_1(\mathcal{C}^\perp), \quad a_2(\mathcal{C}^\perp), \quad a_3(\mathcal{C}^\perp), \quad a_4(\mathcal{C}^\perp), \quad a_5(\mathcal{C}^\perp), \quad a_6(\mathcal{C}^\perp), \quad a_7(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$$

employing Corollary 38. Start with $p=1$. We have $W_1(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = \{a_1(\mathcal{C}^\perp), a_4(\mathcal{C}^\perp), a_7(\mathcal{C}^\perp)\}$ and $\overline{W}_3(\mathcal{C}) = \emptyset$. Since $a_1(\mathcal{C}^\perp) < a_4(\mathcal{C}^\perp) < a_7(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$ and $W_1(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = [3] \setminus \overline{W}_3(\mathcal{C})$, it follows $a_1(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 1$, $a_4(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 2$, $a_7(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 3$. Similarly, $W_2(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = \{a_2(\mathcal{C}^\perp), a_5(\mathcal{C}^\perp)\}$ and $\overline{W}_4(\mathcal{C}) = \{3+1-a_1(\mathcal{C})\} = \{3\}$. It follows $a_2(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 1$ and $a_5(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 2$. Finally, $W_3(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = \{a_3(\mathcal{C}^\perp), a_6(\mathcal{C}^\perp)\}$ and $\overline{W}_5(\mathcal{C}) = \{3+1-a_2(\mathcal{C})\} = \{2\}$. Hence $a_3(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 1$ and $a_6(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 3$. Summarizing, the Delsarte generalized weights of $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ are the integers

$$a_1(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 1, \quad a_2(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 1, \quad a_3(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 1, \quad a_4(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 2, \quad a_5(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 2, \quad a_6(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 3, \quad a_7(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 3.$$

Combining Theorem 28, Lemma 27(2) and [9], Theorem 21, we see that Corollary 38 generalizes the second part of Theorem 33.

Remark 40. In [7] Oggier and Sboui propose a definition of generalized rank weights for Gabidulin codes which we now briefly describe. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ be a non-zero Gabidulin code of dimension $1 \leq t \leq k$. Given an integer $1 \leq r \leq t$, the **r-th Oggier-Sboui generalized weight** of C is $m'_r(C) := \min\{\mathrm{maxrk}(D) : D \subseteq C,\ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(D) = r\}$. Ducoat shows in [2] how the Oggier-Sboui generalized weights relate to the generalized rank weights proposed by Kurihara, Matsumoto and Uyematsu in [6].

One may also define generalized weights for Delsarte codes in analogy with the generalized weights for Gabidulin codes proposed by Oggier and Sboui as follows. Given a Delsarte code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_q)$ of dimension $1 \leq t \leq km$ and an integer $1 \leq r \leq t$, define $a'_r(\mathcal{C}) := \{\mathrm{maxrk}(\mathcal{D}) : \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C},\ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{D}) = r\}$. It can be proved that $a'_r(\mathcal{C}) \leq a_r(\mathcal{C})$ for all r, and that equality does not hold in general. Let e.g. $q = 2$, $k = 2$ and $m = 3$. Denote by $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(2 \times 3, \mathbb{F}_2)$ the Delsarte code generated by the three $\mathbb{F}_q$-independent matrices

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The 2-dimensional subcode $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ generated by A and C has $\mathrm{maxrk}(\mathcal{D}) = 1$. Hence $a'_2(\mathcal{C}) = 1$. On the other hand, it can be checked that there is no Delsarte optimal anticode $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_2^D(2, 3)$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}) = 3$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}) \geq 2$. It follows $a_2(\mathcal{C}) = 6/3 = 2 \neq a'_2(\mathcal{C})$.

Unfortunately, it is not true in general that the a'_r generalized weights of a Delsarte code determine the a'_r generalized weights of the dual code. Let e.g. $q = 2$, $k = 2$ and $m = 3$. Consider the 2-dimensional Delsarte codes $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}(k \times m, \mathbb{F}_2)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{C} := \langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rangle, \qquad \mathcal{D} := \langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rangle.$$

One can check that $a'_1(\mathcal{C}) = a'_1(\mathcal{D}) = 1$ and $a'_2(\mathcal{C}) = a'_2(\mathcal{D}) = 1$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a'_1(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 1, \quad a'_2(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 1, \quad a'_3(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 2, \quad a'_4(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = 2, \\ a'_1(\mathcal{D}^\perp) = 1, \quad a'_2(\mathcal{D}^\perp) = 1, \quad a'_3(\mathcal{D}^\perp) = 1, \quad a'_4(\mathcal{D}^\perp) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ have the same a'_r generalized weights, while $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ and $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ have not. Therefore we do not have an analogue of Corollary 38 for the a'_r generalized weights.

7 Generalized rank weights and security drops

In [12] Silva and Kschischang propose a rank-metric coding scheme to secure a network communication against an eavesdropper. In this paper we are more interested in the algebraic aspects of the problem, and we do not describe the scheme. In [12] the authors prove that when a Gabidulin code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ is employed in their scheme, the information that an eavesdropper can obtain listening at $0 \leq \mu \leq k$ links of the channel is bounded by the quantity

$$\Delta_\mu(C) := \max\{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(V \cap C) : V \in \Lambda_q(k, m),\ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(V) = \mu\}.$$

Clearly, $\Delta_\mu(C) \geq \Delta_{\mu-1}(C)$ for any Gabidulin code C and any integer $1 \leq \mu \leq k$. In analogy with the theory of generalized Hamming weights of [13], we propose the following definition.

Definition 41. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ be a Gabidulin code. An integer $1 \leq \mu \leq k$ is a **worst-case security drop** for C if $\Delta_\mu(C) > \Delta_{\mu-1}(C)$.

The following result is the analogue for Gabidulin code of [13], Corollary A. It shows that the generalized rank weights introduced by Kurihara, Matsumoto and Uyematsu in [6] measure the worst-case security drops of a Gabidulin code employed in the scheme of [12].

Theorem 42. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k$ be a Gabidulin code of dimension $1 \leq t \leq k$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Fix an integer $1 \leq \mu \leq k$. The following are equivalent.

1. $\Delta_\mu(C) > \Delta_{\mu-1}(C)$, i.e., μ is a worst-case security drop for C,
2. there exists $1 \leq r \leq t$ with $m_r(C) = \mu$.

Proof. Let us prove (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Take $V \in \Lambda_q(k,m)$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(V) = \mu$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(V \cap C) = \Delta_\mu(C)$. We have $m_{\Delta_\mu(C)}(C) \leq \mu$. Assume by contradiction $m_{\Delta_\mu(C)}(C) < \mu$. By definition, there exists $U \in \Lambda_q(k,m)$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(U \cap C) \geq \Delta_\mu(C)$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(U) < \mu$. Clearly, we can find $H \supseteq U$ with $H \in \Lambda_q(k,m)$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(H) = \mu - 1$. It follows

$$\Delta_{\mu-1}(C) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(H \cap C) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(U \cap C) \geq \Delta_\mu(C),$$

a contradiction. Hence we may take $r = \Delta_\mu(C)$. Now we prove (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let $1 \leq r \leq t$ with $m_r(C) = \mu$. There exists $V \in \Lambda_q(k,m)$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(V \cap C) \geq r$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(V) = \mu$. Hence $\Delta_\mu(C) \geq r$. Assume by contradiction $\Delta_\mu(C) = \Delta_{\mu-1}(C)$. Let $U \in \Lambda_q(k,m)$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(U) = \mu - 1$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(U \cap C) = \Delta_{\mu-1}(C) = \Delta_\mu(C)$. By definition, $m_{\Delta_\mu(C)}(C) \leq \mu - 1$. Moreover, since $\Delta_\mu(C) \geq r$, by Theorem 5 we have $m_{\Delta_\mu(C)}(C) \geq m_r(C)$. It follows $\mu = m_r(C) \leq m_{\Delta_\mu(C)}(C) \leq \mu - 1$, a contradiction. This proves $\Delta_\mu(C) > \Delta_{\mu-1}(C)$. □

Acknowledgement

I am grateful to Elisa Gorla for help in improving Theorem 28.

References

[1] P. Delsarte, *Bilinear forms over a finite field, with applications to coding theory*. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 25 (1978), 3, pp. 226 – 241.

[2] J. Ducoat, *Generalized rank weights: a duality statement*. Online preprint: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.3899`.

[3] E. Gabidulin *Theory of codes with maximum rank distance*. Problems of Information Transmission, 1 (1985), 2, pp. 1 – 12.

[4] M. Giorgetti, A. Previtali, *Galois invariance, trace codes and subfield subcodes*. Finite Fields and Their Applications, 16 (2010), 2, pp. 96 – 99.

[5] R. Kötter, F. R. Kschischang, *Coding for Errors and Erasures in Random Network Coding*. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 54 (2008), 8, pp. 3579 – 3591.

[6] J. Kurihara, R. Matsumoto, T. Uyematsu, *Relative Generalized Rank Weight of Linear Codes and Its Applications to Network Coding*. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 61 (2015), 7, pp. 3912 – 3936.

[7] F. Oggier, A. Sboui, *On the Existence of Generalized Rank Weights*. IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory and its Applications (2012).

[8] L. H. Ozarow, A. D. Wyner, *Wire-tap-channel II*. Bell Labs Technical Journal, 63 (1984), pp. 2135 – 2157.

[9] A. Ravagnani, *Rank-metric codes and their duality theory*. Designs, Codes and Cryprography, to appear.

[10] C. de Seguins Pazzis, *The classification of large spaces of matrices with bounded rank*. Israel Journal of Mathematics, to appear. Online preprint: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1004.0298`.

[11] D. Silva, F. R. Kschishang, *On metrics for error correction in network coding*. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 55 (2009), 12, pp. 5479 – 5490.

[12] D. Silva, F. R. Kschischang, *Universal Secure Network Coding via Rank-Metric Codes*. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 57 (2011), 2, pp. 1124 – 1135.

[13] V. K. Wei, Generalized Hamming Weights for Linear Codes. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 37 (1991), 5, pp. 1412 – 1418.